

# Limestone Creek Embayment Wheeler Reservoir Intensive Basin Survey 2015

**WHEL-5:** Limestone Creek approx 1 mi upstream of confluence with TN River (Limestone Co 34.510/-

## BACKGROUND

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM) began monitoring lake water quality statewide in 1985, followed by a second statewide survey in 1989. In 1990, the Reservoir Water Quality Monitoring Program [now known as the Rivers and Reservoirs Monitoring Program (RRMP)] was initiated by ADEM.

The current objectives of this program are to provide data that can be used to assess current water quality conditions, identify trends in water quality conditions and to develop Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and water quality criteria. Descriptions of all RRMP monitoring activities are available in ADEM’s 2012 Monitoring Strategy (ADEM 2012).

In 2015, ADEM monitored the Limestone Creek tributary embayment of Wheeler Reservoir as part of the basin assessment of the Tennessee River under the RRMP. This site was selected using historical data and previous assessments. The purpose of this report is to summarize data collected in the Limestone Creek embayment (WHEL-5) during the 2015 growing season (Apr-Oct). This is the fourth basin assessment of the Tennessee River since ADEM began sampling. Monthly and/or mean concentrations of nutrients [total nitrogen (TN); total phosphorus (TP)], algal biomass/productivity [chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*); algal growth potential testing (AGPT)], sediment [total suspended solids (TSS)], and trophic state [Carlson’s trophic state index (TSI)] from 2015 were compared to ADEM’s historical data and established criteria.

A consumption advisory was issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2010 for mercury in fish from Limestone Creek. As a result, the embayment portion of Limestone Creek is listed on the 2014 Alabama’s Clean Water Act (CWA) §303(d) list of impaired waters for not meeting its water use classification.

## WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

Watershed land uses are summarized in Table 1. Limestone Creek is classified as a *Fish & Wildlife (F&W)* stream located in the Eastern Highland Rim ecoregion (71g). Based on the 2006 National Land Cover Dataset, land use within the 285 mi<sup>2</sup> watershed is predominantly agriculture (60%) (Fig. 3). As of January 28, 2016, ADEM has issued a total of 305 NPDES permits within the watershed. Eleven of those permits are located within 10 mi of the station (Fig. 2).

## SITE DESCRIPTION

The Limestone Creek embayment at WHEL-5 is a very large embayment fed by Piney, Limestone, and Beaverdam Creeks. The mean bottom depth at the sample location is 3.27m (Table 2). It is located on the north side of the river just east of I-65, and south of I-565. There are numerous islands and grass flats in the bay, though the main channel is clear of aquatic vegetation.



Figure 1. Photo of Limestone Creek at WHEL-5.




Figure 2. Map of Limestone Creek embayment of Wheeler Reservoir. Though additional permitted facilities may occur in the watershed (Table 1), only those within 10 miles upstream of the station are displayed on the map.

## METHODS

Water quality assessments were conducted at monthly intervals, April-October. All samples were collected, preserved, stored, and transported according to procedures in the ADEM Field Operations Division Standard Operating Procedures (ADEM 2015), Surface Water Quality Assurance Project Plan (ADEM 2012), and Quality Management Plan (ADEM 2013).

Mean growing season TN, TP, chl *a*, and TSS were calculated to evaluate water quality conditions. Monthly concentrations of these parameters were graphed with ADEM's previously collected data to help interpret the 2015 results. Carlson's TSI was calculated from the corrected chl *a* concentrations.


## RESULTS

The following discussion of results is limited to those parameters which directly affect trophic status or parameters which have established criteria. Results of all water chemistry analyses are presented in Table 2. The axis ranges of the graphs in Figs. 4-6 were set to maximum values reservoir wide so all embayment reports on the same reservoir could be compared.

Basin	Tennessee R
Drainage Area (mi <sup>2</sup> )	285
Ecoregion <sup>a</sup>	71g
% Land use	
Open Water	1%
Developed	Open Space 7%
	Low Intensity 3%
	Medium Intensity <1%
	High Intensity <1%
Barren Land	<1%
Forest	Deciduous Forest 12%
	Evergreen Forest 2%
	Mixed Forest 3%
	Shrub/Scrub 5%
	Herbaceous 3%
	Hay/Pasture 36%
	Cultivated Crops 24%
Wetlands	Woody 4%
	Emergent Herb. <1%
# NPDES outfalls <sup>b</sup> TOTAL 305	
	Construction Stormwater 226
	Mining 4
	Small Mining 1
	Industrial General 34
	No Exposure 5
	Municipal 3
	Underground Injection Control 32

a. Eastern Highland Rim

b. #NPDES outfalls downloaded from ADEM's NPDES Management System database, Jan 28, 2016.



**Figure 3.** Land use within the Limestone Creek watershed at WHEL-5.

Mean growing season TN values increased from 2003-2013 then declined in 2015 (Fig. 4). Monthly TN concentrations were highest in April and August.

Mean growing season TP concentrations declined 2003-2013 and remained unchanged in 2015 (Fig. 4). Monthly TP concentrations were highest in April and August.









The growing season mean chl *a* value in 2015 was lower than 2013 but higher than 2003-2009 (Fig. 4). Monthly chl *a* concentrations sharply peaked in July and August.

Mean TSI values have remained eutrophic all years monitored (Fig. 4). Monthly TSI in Limestone Creek was oligotrophic April and May then increased to near hyper-eutrophic conditions July-October.



Mean growing season TSS values increased from 2009-2015 (Fig. 5). Monthly TSS concentrations varied throughout the growing season with the highest concentration measured in August.

No AGPT sample was collected from Limestone Creek in 2015. Results from 2003-2013 are shown in Table 3.

DO concentrations in the WHEL-5 station remained above the ADEM criteria limit (ADEM Admin. Code R. 335-6-10-09) of 5.0 mg/L at 5.0 ft (1.5 m) April through October (Fig. 6).



**Figure 4.** Mean growing season (2003-2015) and monthly (April-October, 2015) TN, TP, chl *a* and TSI measured in the Limestone Creek embayment of Wheeler Reservoir. Vertical axis ranges are set to maximum values reservoir-wide for comparability between embayment reports within the same reservoir.



**Figure 5.** Mean growing season and monthly TSS measured in the Limestone Creek embayment of Wheeler Reservoir.

**Table 2.** Summary of water quality data collected April-October, 2015. Minimum (Min) and maximum (Max) values calculated using minimum detection limits. Median (Med), Mean, and standard deviations (SD) values were calculated by multiplying the MDL by 0.5 when results were less than this value.


WHEL-5	N	Min	Max	Med	Mean	SD
<b>Physical</b>						
Turbidity (NTU)	7	9.9	23.8	15.4	16.3	5.4
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	7	40.0	111.0	68.0	75.1	26.2
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	7	8.0	25.0	13.0	15.3	5.7
Hardness (mg/L)	4	38.0	83.6	51.9	56.4	19.5
Alkalinity (mg/L) <sup>J</sup>	7	32.6	75.9	55.0	53.8	14.1
Photic Zone (m)	7	1.02	2.50	1.84	1.79	0.49
Secchi (m)	7	0.51	0.96	0.72	0.75	0.14
Bottom Depth (m)	7	2.90	3.81	3.32	3.27	0.40
<b>Chemical</b>						
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/L) <sup>J</sup>	7	< 0.007	0.092	0.016	0.024	0.031
Nitrate+Nitrite Nitrogen (mg/L)	7	< 0.001	0.815	0.056	0.284	0.332
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	7	0.227	1.180	0.577	0.608	0.354
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	7	< 0.610	1.304	0.825	0.892	0.280
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L) <sup>J</sup>	7	0.002	0.019	0.004	0.006	0.006
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	7	0.022	0.060	0.029	0.036	0.015
CBOD-5 (mg/L) <sup>J</sup>	7	< 2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
Chlorides (mg/L)	7	3.2	7.9	5.0	5.1	1.6
<b>Biological</b>						
Chlorophyll a (ug/L)	7	< 1.00	38.70	21.40	18.09	16.83
E. coli (col/100mL) <sup>J</sup>	3	< 1	8	1	3	4

J= one or more of the values is an estimate; N=# samples.

**Table 3.** Algal growth potential test results (expressed as mean MSC) dry weights of *Selenastrum capricornutum* in mg/L) and limiting nutrient status. MSC values below 5 mg/L are considered to be protective in reservoirs and lakes (Raschke and Schultz 1987).

WHEL-5	MSC	Limiting Nutrient
8/19/2003	7.04	PHOSPHORUS
8/19/2009	4.13	NITROGEN
8/21/2013	12.3	PHOSPHORUS

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:  
 Michael Len, ADEM Environmental Indicators Section  
 1350 Coliseum Boulevard, Montgomery, AL 36110  
 (334) 260-2787, mlen@adem.state.al.us



**Figure 6.** Monthly DO concentrations at 1.5 m (5 ft) for Limestone Creek embayment station of Wheeler Reservoir collected April-October 2015. ADEM Water Quality Criteria pertaining to reservoir waters require a DO concentration of 5.0 mg/L at this depth.

## REFERENCES

- ADEM. 2015. Standard Operating Procedures Series #2000, Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), Montgomery, AL.
- ADEM. 2013. Quality Management Plan (QMP) for the Alabama Department of Environmental, Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), Montgomery, AL. 58 pp.
- ADEM. 2012. Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for Surface Water Quality Monitoring in Alabama. Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), Montgomery, AL. 78 pp.
- ADEM. 2012. State of Alabama Water Quality Monitoring Strategy June 19, 2012. Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), Montgomery, AL. 88 pp. <http://www.adem.alabama.gov/programs/water/wqsurvey/2012WQMonitoringStrategy>
- Alabama Department of Environmental Management Water Division (ADEM Admin. Code R. 335-6-10-.09). 2010. Specific Water Quality Criteria. Water Quality Program. Chapter 10. Volume 1. Division 335-6.
- Alabama Department of Environmental Management Water Division (ADEM Admin. Code R. 335-6-10-.11). 2010. Water Quality Criteria Applicable to Specific Lakes. Water Quality Program. Chapter 10. Volume 1. Division 335-6.
- Carlson, R.E. 1977. A trophic state index. *Limnology and Oceanography*. 22(2):361-369.
- Raschke, R.L. and D.A. Schultz. 1987. The use of the algal growth potential test for data assessment. *Journal of Water Pollution Control Federation* 59(4):222-227.